

PIONEER's operational definitions: Harmonising clinical characteristics and phenotypes data of prostate cancer patients Authors: Beyer K.¹, Moris L.², Lardas M.³, Gandaglia G.⁴, Roobol M.⁵, Bjartell A.⁶, Omar M.I.⁷, Herrera R.⁸, Maclennan S.J.⁷, Briganti A.⁴, Reich C.¹³, De Meulder B.¹⁴, Van Hemelrijck M.¹ and PIONEER Consortium Affiliations:¹King's College London, Dept. of Translational and Oncology, Research (TOUR), London, United Kingdom, ²University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium, ³Metropolitan General Hospitals, College London, United Kingdom, ²University Hospitals, College London, United Kingdom, ²University, College London, Col Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ⁶Lund University, Dept. of Translational Medicine, Medical Faculty, Lund, Sweden, ⁷University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom, ⁸Bayer A.G., Dept. of Epidemiology, Berlin, Germany, ⁹EAU, Guidelines Office, Arnhem, The Netherlands, ¹⁰Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc, Dept.

Background

- PIONEER is part of the Innovative Medicine Initiative's "Big Data for Better Outcomes" umbrella programme.
- PIONEER aims to transform the field of prostate cancer care with particular focus on improving prostate-cancer related outcomes, health system efficiency and the quality of health and social care across Europe by maximising the potential of Big Data.



Introduction

- PIONEER developed core outcome sets for localised and metastatic prostate cancer and performed a systematic review of outcomes currently used for locally advanced and nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer.
- To maximise the usage of various data sets within the PIONEER big data platform, we extended this work to develop operational definitions for the characteristics and phenotypes used in clinical outcome definitions.

PIONEER is funded through the IMI2 Joint Undertaking and is listed under grant agreement No. 777492 and is part of the Big Data for Better Outcomes Programme (BD4BO). IMI2 receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA).

of Global Epidemiology, Whippany, United States of America, ¹¹The Swedish Institute for Health Economics, Dept. of IHE, Lund, Sweden, ¹²Imperial College London, United Kingdom, ¹³IQVIA, Cambridge, United States of America, ¹⁴Association EISBM, Vourles, France

Methods

- An online expert consensus meeting was conducted with healthcare professionals and data analysts (n=29).
- Thirty-six clinical concepts were captured to be converted into operational definitions ensuring that each building block of a clinical definition had an appropriate operational definition.

Results

- The 36 clinical concepts were divided based on generic terminology and the four predefined risk categories which resulted in 73 operational definitions.
- The experts aimed to define options of operational definitions, including the ideal option and a minimum requirement if needed.

Table 1 Example of two operational definitions

Term	Clinical Definition	Oper
Need for	Patients discontinued	Optio
curative	from active	7128
treatment/	surveillance and	diffe
(Applicable	underwent treatment	infor
to active	for various reasons	DRE
surveillance	including change in	for tl
specifically)	patient preference,	Optio
	increasing PSA, digital	surve
	rectal examination	who
	suggestive of more	treat
	advanced features,	lf no
	biopsy evidence of	Surve
	increased tumour	prost
	volume or higher	repe
	grade, doctor's	year
	decision, with or without new findings	3 mc
		after
	on MRI.	canc
		othe

rational Definition

- ion 1: Patient on AS (SNOMED 837004) who continues on a erent treatment and has rmation on rising PSA levels, findings, or different treatment their cancer.
- ion 2: Patient on Active veillance (SNOMED 712837004) continues with another atment.
- SNOMED code available, Active veillance can also be defined as a state cancer patient with eated biopsies (at least 1 after 1
-) and PSA measurements every onths; or no drop in PSA levels er diagnosis; or localised prostate cer as per definition and no er treatments coded.

big data.

- It will enable users of the PIONEER platform to harmonise datasets and hence efficiently define phenotypes and characteristics for various research questions across different data sets to maximize output.
- Multiple iterations between HCPs and data analysts might be needed to properly capture the more complex outcomes and concepts
- OMOP community.

- definitions







Conclusion

• The PIONEER operational definitions for the various outcomes and concepts defined in prostate cancer can be applied across

Further development of the common data model might be suggested during this exercise that will be forwarded to the

Next steps

• PIONEER will work together with the IMI EHDEN project to translate the operational definitions into OMOP-compatible

• A study-a-thon is planned for early 2021 to demonstrate how to answer a clinically-relevant research questions using the PIONEER big data platform and the operational definitions

